

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office Address: COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box NSO Alexandda, Virginia 22313 1450 www.usato.giv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052.897	01/16/2002	Shi Baw Ch'ng	12144-010001 9091		
	7590 03/14/2007 A R D S O N P C	EXAMINER			
FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022			ALAM, UZMA		
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55440-1022	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2157		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/052,897	CH'NG, SHI BAW
Examiner	Art Unit
Uzma Alam	2157

	Uzma Alam	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aftotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply make of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cf ust be filed within one in the final rejection, whi	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	LED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej		110 133003 101
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	J
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		Il be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8 and 10-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that there is no disclosure of generatinga batch alarm based on the results of "processing information to idnetify neteowrk faults that cause or are caused by other network faults." The reference Chirashnya teaches a fault notification system by A recommendation and explanation generator 52 receives the malfunction assessments computed by diagnostic engine 48, and compares the assessments for the different modules in network 22 to expected, baseline values held in fault model 50. When the failure rate assessment for a given module is significantly higher than its baseline value, generator 52 typically recommends to the user to take further diagnostic action or to replace the FRU containing the module. Criteria for making such recommendations are described further hereinbelow. The recommendations are presented via a user interface 54. Preferably the user interface also allows the user to input queries to the recommendation and explanation generator, and in response to receive a comprehensive explanation of the rationale for the recommendation.

ARIO ETIENNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TOWNOLOGY CENTER 2100